

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

Document control

Title of activity:	Determined Admission Arrangements 2018/19
Type of activity:	Policy
Lead officer:	Trevor Cook, Education Provision Commissioning Manager, Children's Services
Approved by:	Tim Aldridge, Director Children's Services
Date completed:	February 2017
Scheduled date for review:	March 2018

Did you seek advice from the Corporate Policy & Diversity team?	Yes
Does the EIA contain any confidential or exempt information that would prevent you publishing it on the Council's website?	No

1. Equality Impact Assessment Checklist

About your activity

1	Title of activity	Determined Admission Arrangements 2018/19	
2	Type of activity	Policy	
		The purpose of this EA is to assess the impact of the annual determination of admissions arrangements for community and voluntary controlled infant, junior, primary and secondary schools in Havering, and adoption of qualifying schemes for co-ordinating admissions during the course of the normal admission rounds to maintained schools and academies in Havering for the school year 2018/19.	
		The School Admissions Code ('the Code') applies to admissions to all maintained schools (excluding maintained special schools) and Academies in England and ensures that all school places for community and voluntary controlled schools and Academies are allocated and offered in an open and fair way.	
3	Scope of activity	The Code imposes mandatory requirements and includes guidelines setting out aims, objectives and other matters in relation to the discharge of functions relating to admissions by the bodies listed below:	
5	S Scope of activity	 Admission authorities of maintained schools as defined in Section 88(1) (a) and (b) of the SSFA 1982 Governing bodies and local authorities (when not admission authorities) Schools Adjudicators Admission Appeal Panels. 	
		These bodies have a statutory duty to act in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Code.	
		It is the responsibility of admission authorities to ensure that admission arrangements are compliant with the Code. Where a school is the admission authority, this responsibility falls to the governing body or Academy Trust. Objections to the admission arrangements of both maintained schools and Academies can be made to the Schools Adjudicator whose decisions are binding and enforceable.	
4a	Is the activity new or changing?	Changing.	

4b	Is the activity likely to have an impact on individuals or groups?	Groups.
5	If you answered yes:	Please complete the EIA on the next page.
6	If you answered no:	Please provide a clear and robust explanation on why your activity does not require an EIA. This is essential in case the activity is challenged under the Equality Act 2010. Please keep this checklist for your audit trail.
Completed by:		Trevor Cook, Education Provision Commissioning Manager, Children's Services
Date:		20 th February 2017

2. Equality Impact Assessment

The EIA

Background/context:

This decision concerns the determination of the proposed admission arrangements to Reception, Year 3 and Year 7 at community and voluntary controlled infant, junior, primary and secondary schools in Havering for the school year 2018/19 (see Appendix A for Reception & Year 3 and Appendix B for Year 7) and the adoption of qualifying schemes for co-ordinating admissions during the course of the normal admission rounds to Reception, Year 3 and Year 7 to all maintained schools and academies in Havering for the school year 2018/19 (see Appendix C for Reception & Year 3 and Appendix D for Year 7).

The Local Authority, acting as the admission authority for all the community and voluntary controlled infant, junior, primary and secondary schools in the borough, following consultation must make the following decisions:

- (1) the admission arrangements to these schools for the school year 2018/19; and
- (2) the adoption of qualifying schemes, based on the models promoted by the London Inter-Authority Admissions Group as a Pan-London protocol, for co-ordinating admissions to all maintained infant, primary and secondary schools and academies in Havering for the academic year 2018/19; and
- (3) the specific qualifying scheme for co-ordinating admission arrangements to Year 3, the normal year of entry to maintained junior schools both inside and outside the borough.

The Local Authority is not required to adopt a qualifying scheme to co-ordinate in-year admissions to maintained schools and academies for the school year 2018/19 and subsequent years. However, the Local Authority will continue to co-ordinate in-year

admissions to community and voluntary controlled schools in the borough and has also indicated that it would be willing to continue to co-ordinate in-year admissions, for those own admission authority schools/academies (academies, foundation and voluntary aided schools) that wish to participate in a co-ordinated scheme for in-year admissions, in the school year 2018/19.

The Local Authority, acting as the admission authority for the community and voluntary controlled schools in the borough, is required to determine its admission arrangements to these schools for the school year 2018/19 by the 28th February in the determination year i.e. by the 28th February 2017. The Local Authority is then required to publish details of where the determined admission arrangements for schools and academies in its area can be viewed, together with details concerning how objections to these arrangements can be made, by the 15th March 2017.

The Local Authority is also required to adopt qualifying schemes for the co-ordination of admissions during the course of the normal admission rounds to Reception, Year 3 and Year 7 at all maintained schools and academies in Havering in the school year 2018/19 by the 28th February 2017.

Discussions are on-going with a number of head teachers and governors of a number of infant, junior, primary and secondary schools concerning an increase in their published admission number to accommodate forecast increased pupil numbers in their areas. These may result in higher published admission numbers for admissions in the school year 2018/19 and these higher published admission numbers will continue in the school year 2018/19 and in future years.

The Local Authority also consulted on proposed changes to the admission criterion giving priority to children with siblings at community and voluntary controlled infant, junior and primary schools. Currently, priority for a school place is given to all siblings of children on the roll of the school, on the date of admission regardless of their current address. This means that those children with siblings are likely to gain admission to a school over pupils who live close to the school, even though the siblings may live much further from the school.

However due to the increase in the population and the rising popularity of many of our schools, there is greater pressure on places from local families than ever before. High mobility also means that some parents move away from the immediate area of schools, but subsequently gain admission for their younger children, thereby reducing the number of places available for local applicants. The proposed changes are not aimed at reducing the numbers of out borough pupils simply to ensure that as far as possible pupils from the immediate locality have priority.

The Council proposed the following change to the sibling criterion:

Sibling priority will be given to:

- Pupils with siblings on the roll of the school on the date of admission who live up to a distance of 1.6km from the school.
- Pupils who live further than 1.6km from the school if they currently have a sibling at the school who was admitted prior to September 2018. This will include siblings at a partner junior schools.

Some investigation has been undertaken as to the potential impact of this change. The statistics below are taken from 2016 Reception Cohort intake for 3 oversubscribed schools across the borough;

2016 intake		
Nelmes	11 siblings living over 1.6km were offered places, 2 of which lived over 6km	11 pupils missed out of being offered a place all lived within 1.3km
Scotts	6 siblings living over 1.6km were offered places, 1 of which lived over 4.8km	6 pupils missed out of being offered a place all lived within 1.0km
Ardleigh Green Infants	3 siblings living over 1.6km were offered places, all of which lived over 2.9km	3 pupils missed out of being offered a place all lived within 0.6km

The Council has been successful in creating some additional places by expanding existing schools and opening new free schools. However, to increase the opportunities for local families in accessing local school places the Council proposed the above changes.

The change to the arrangements aims to strike a balance between keeping strong family links for those who live local to the school, whilst freeing up capacity for local applicants where families live further away from the school.

The Council has not proposed changing this criterion for families who currently have already been awarded places for their other siblings, and it will only affect families where the last sibling was admitted after September 2018.

All applicants will still be considered under the remaining oversubscription criteria, and if a school is undersubscribed, pupils will be offered places in any case.

This decision has been taken in conjunction with Head Teachers and Governing Bodies as it is an issue that affects many infant, junior and primary schools across Havering.

The proposed threshold distance of 1.6km is a reasonable distance to determine that a family still live within the locality of the school whilst also based on historic admission patterns.

The change in the sibling criterion does not affect families who already have a sibling attending the preferred school. It will only affect families where the sibling is admitted after September 2018. It is important to recognise however that even though the siblings living further than 1.6km would not be offered places under the sibling criterion, they may still have been offered places under the lower distance criterion.

A copy of the full draft admission arrangements were published alongside the consultation, along with a Frequently Asked Questions section. Details of the full consultation can be found here;

https://www3.havering.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Admissions-Consultation.aspx

Age: Consider the full range of age groups	
Please tick ()	Overall impact:
the relevant box:	

Positive		This policy applies equally to all children and young people between
Neutral	~	the ages of 4 - 16 whose parents/carers apply for a place in a school/ academy located within the London Borough of Havering. The LA has
Negative		in place its Education Provision Commissioning Plan which details how the LA is going to respond to the growing demand for school places with a potential impact on children arising from the demographic changes.

Evidence:

Table 1 below presents the age profile of Havering's population by count and percentage;

2013	Number	Percentage of population (%)
All persons	242,080	100.0
0-4 years	14,808	6.1
5-10 years	16,867	7.0
11-17 years	20,445	8.5
18-24 years	21,048	8.7
25-64 years	124,097	51.3
65-84 years	38,306	15.8
85+ years	6,509	2.7

(Source: 2013 Mid-year population estimates, Office of National Statistics)

The number of Primary age pupils is expected to continue rising significantly from 19,834 in 2013-14, to 23,333 in 2018/19, which is more than 3,000 extra pupils over the next five years. The number of pupils is projected to continue to rise further. There will be a need to continue to make new provision available in some planning areas on both a permanent and temporary basis.

The number of Secondary age pupils (Years 7-11) in Havering schools is expected to rise significantly from 15,038 in 2014/15 to 18,051 in 2023/24. Beyond this point the longer term strategic forecasts indicate a further increase in pupil numbers, although this estimate is heavily influenced by projections of new housing development beyond 2026.

Table 2 below shows the population change from 2001 to 2011 Census by Age Group;

Age Group	2001 Population		2011 Pop	2011 Population			
	Number	%	Number	%			
0-4	12,429	5.54	13,661	5.76			
5-9	14,553	6.49	13,230	5.58			
11-17	20,096	8.96	21,269	8.97			
18-24	16,769	7.48	20,763	8.75			
25-64	117,732	52.50	123,365	52.00			
65-84	35,454	15.81	36,221	15.27			
85+	4,216	1.88	6,056	2.55			
Total	224,248	100	237,232	100			
Sources used:							
The School A	Admissions	s Code a	and Deterr	nined A			

Disability: Consider the full range of disabilities; including physical mental, sensory and

progressive	progressive conditions			
Please tick (\checkmark) the relevant box:		Overall impact:		
Positive	~	It is unlawful for a School in relation to certain activities to treat a disabled child less favourably than a non-disabled child, without		
Neutral		justification. These activities include admissions and a School must make reasonable adjustments, as necessary, to ensure that this is the		
Negative		case. Applicants with a signed Statement of Special Educational Needs (SEN) or an Education, Health and Care plan (EHCP) which names a school will be admitted in accordance with Section 43 of the Children and Families Act 2014 and section 1.6 of the School Admissions Code (December 2014).		

Evidence:

The definition of 'disability' under the Equality Act 2010 shows a person has a disability if they have a physical or mental impairment; and the impairment has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on their ability to perform normal day-to-day activities.

The number of children in Havering with learning difficulties and disabilities (LDD) will increase, most significantly among primary school age children. Current projections suggest an overall increase of 7.5% across all categories of LDD by 2017.

The most common categories of LDD are Moderate Learning Disability (30%), Behaviour, Emotional and Social Difficulties (19%) Speech, Language and Communication Needs (17%). According to the School Census in 2013 37,602 pupils in maintained primary secondary schools and special schools had Special Education Needs (SEN).

If there are more applications to the school than there are places available, then places will be allocated in the following order of priority.

- 1. Looked after children and children who were previously looked after.
- 2. Exceptional medical or exceptional social grounds.
- 3. Children with siblings on the roll of the school on the date of admission living **up to a distance of 1.6km** from the school. Children with a brother or sister at the school on the date of admission **living over 1.6km** from the school will also receive priority under this criterion where the last sibling was admitted prior to September 2018. This will include children in partner Junior Schools.
- 4. The distance of the home address from the School, as measured by a straight line from the School, those pupils living nearer the School being given higher priority.

Applicants who can demonstrate that admission to a particular community school is necessary on the grounds of professionally supported medical or social need may have their application considered under this criteria. Parent/carers must supply details of any such special factors at the time of the original application together with recent supporting documentation. Such applications will not be considered without professional support, such as a letter or report from a doctor, consultant or social worker which must be no more than six months old. All information must be submitted with the Common Application Form and will be regarded as confidential.

The exceptional medical or social reasons must relate to the child. The evidence supplied by the doctor, social worker or other appropriate professional must clearly set out the reasons why the preferred School is the most suitable and the difficulties that would be caused if the child attended and had to travel to another School/ Academy.

Sources used:

Information concerning children and young people with physical and learning difficulties is held by the Children and Adults with Disabilities (CAD) Service. Information concerning exceptional medical or social grounds affecting children is submitted by of parents/carers at the time of application for school places to the School Admissions Team.

Sex/gender: Consider both men and women				
Please tick (the relevant l	,	Overall impact:		
Positive		It is unlawful for a school to treat children less favourably on the grounds of gender, without justification. This policy applies equally to boys and girls whose parents/carers apply for a place in a school academy located within the London Borough of Havering.		
Neutral	~			
Negative				
Evidence:				

The percentage of girls and women in Havering is slightly above the average for London (50%) and England (51%). 52% of Havering's current population (125,848 people) are girls and women. 48% of Havering's current population (116,232) are boys and men. During the consultation on the proposed change to the admissions arrangements to include a distance measure as part of the sibling criterion, some respondents claimed that

there would be a greater risk of parents having siblings at different schools, which would have a disproportionate impact on women as they are invariably the ones who take their children to and from school. There is no data to support this at present, and where a parent already has a child admitted to the school prior to September 2018, there is no change in the sibling criteria. For parents applying after this time, they will be aware that the criteria has been changed and therefore will make an application knowing that if they live further than 1.6km from the school they will not get a sibling place, however they may still secure a place on distance.

Sources used:

The School Admissions Code and Determined Arrangements 2018/19.

Ethnicity/race: Consider the impact on different ethnic groups and nationalities					
Please tick (✓) the relevant box:		Overall impact:			
Positive		It is unlawful for a school to treat children less favourably on the grounds of race. This policy applies equally to children of any			
Neutral	~	ethnicity/race whose parents/carers apply for a place in a scho academy located within the London Borough of Havering.			
Negative					
Evidence:					
According to the GLA 2012 Round Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) ethnic group projection, which is the most up-to-date data on ethnicity, ethnic groups represent 14.3% of the Borough population. It should be noted, however, that the GLA define ethnic groups (BAME) differently to the ONS (BME). The GLA's BAME category does not include the 'White Other' Group. Instead the 'White Other' group is included in the 'White' category.					
Table 3 below shows the ethnic group projections in Havering;					

2014 (projection)	Number	Percentage of population (%)
All Ethnicities	246,269	100.00
White	211,126	85.7
Black Caribbean	3,335	1.4
Black African	9,485	3.9
Black Other	4,524	1.8
Indian	5,813	2.4
Pakistani	1,820	0.7
Bangladeshi	1,205	0.5
Chinese	1,662	0.7
Other Asian	4,467	1.8
Other	2,833	1.2
BAME	35,144	14.3

(Source: 2012 Round SHLAA ethnic group projection - final, Greater London Authority)

GLA Intelligence also reports on BAME population projections from 2001 to 2041, across 5-year intervals. Havering's BAME population is projected to steadily increase from the current 14.3%to approximately 21% in 2041. For comparison, the BAME population for Greater London is projected to reach 50% by 2038, which also coincides with a steady decline of the White population.

In 2011 the composition of the Havering population was 83% White British and 17% BME, including Non-British White. While the percentage of minority ethnic groups is very small compared to other London Boroughs, Havering has seen the highest percentage increase between 2001 Census and 2011 Census as the percentage of ethnic minority population has more than doubled from 8% in 2001 to 17% in 2011.

For comparison, on the 2001 Census day, 92 out of every 100 people in Havering were "White British." In 2011, this has reduced to 83 out of every 100 people. This represents an increase of ethnic groups (including non-British White) from 8% (2001) to 17% (2011) of the Havering Population – a 9 percentage point increase in the ten-year period.

According to 2011 Census data, of Havering's population:

- 88% of residents are White, including non-British White
- 4.9% of residents are Asian
- 4.8% of residents are Black
- 2.1% of residents are from Mixed backgrounds
- 0.6% of residents have identified themselves as "Other".

When breaking down the "White" category further, we can see that:

- 3% of residents have identified themselves as White: Other White
- 1.3% of residents are White: Irish, and
- Gypsies or Irish Travellers represent only 0.1% (or about 160 people) of Havering's

population.

The highest proportions of the ethnic minority groups live around Romford Town, Brooklands, South Hornchuch, Emerson Park, Heaton, Rainham and Wennington, and Elm Park wards.

The White British population has a very different age structure to the minority ethnic population. While a greater proportion of the White British population are in older age groups, the majority of the minority ethnic groups are in the younger age groups. For example, most White British residents are in the 45-49 year age group, whereas most BME residents are in the 0-4 and 30-34 year quinary age groups.

Havering has the highest percentage (94.4%) of people aged 16 and over who have English as a main language within the household compared to England and Wales (91.2%) and London (74%).

According to 2011 Census data, the main foreign languages spoken in Havering after English by count and percentage are:

- Lithuanian (980, 0.4%)
- Polish (829, 0.4%)
- Panjabi (595, 0.3 %)
- Bengali with Sylheti and Chatgaya (490, 0.2%)
- Tagalog/Filipino (430, 0.2%).

The latest School Census (January 2014) reported that 10.3% of school-aged children in Havering speak a language other than English, with 10 most spoken languages (after English) in Havering being: Yoruba, Lithuanian, Urdu, Polish, Bengali, Romanian, Punjabi, Albanian, French & Turkish.

Sources used:

The School Admissions Code and Determined Arrangements 2018/19.

Religion/faith: Consider people from different religions or beliefs including those with no religion or belief				
Please tick (Overall impact:		
the relevant b	50X.			
FOSILIVE V		It is unlawful for a school to treat children less favourably on the grounds of religion, without justification. Voluntary Aided		
Neutral		Denominational and Foundation Schools also require parents/carers to complete their Supplementary Information Forms (SIF) and are		
Negative		responsible for their own admissions. They receive information from the LA of the applications received that listed the School as a preference and combine this with the information provided on the SIFs sent direct from parents. Each School will then rank the children in accordance with their published admissions criteria. The Schools will then advise their ranking order of all applicants to the LA. This information is then used during the offer process.		

Evidence:

Over 66% of Havering's population stated that they are Christian, followed by 23% who declared that they have no religion and just below 7% who preferred not to state their religion. Other religions in the borough are Muslim (2%), Hindu (1.2%), Sikh (0.8%), Jewish (0.5%) and Buddhist (0.3%)

When comparing 2001 to 2011 Census results, Havering has the biggest percentage increase in Sikh (106%) as a religion and those who stated No religion (81.1%) compared to all the London Boroughs. Although these increases are significant, it must be noted that they are increasing from a very small base, as follows: Sikh (from 936 to 1,928 people) and those with No religion (from 29,567 to 53,549 people).

Table 4 below shows the religious breakdown of Havering's population by count and percentage;

2011	Number	Percentage of population (%)
All religions	237,232	100.0
Christian	155,597	65.6
Muslim	4,829	2.0
Hindu	2,963	1.2
Sikh	1,928	0.8
Jewish	1,159	0.5
Buddhist	760	0.3
Other religion	648	0.3
No religion	53,549	22.6
Religion not stated	15,799	6.7

(Data source: 2011 Census)

As with other maintained schools, these schools designated with a religious character are required to offer every child who applies, whether of the faith, another faith or no faith, a place at the school if there are places available. Schools designated by the Secretary of State as having a religious character (commonly known as faith schools) may use faith-based oversubscription criteria and allocate places by reference to faith where the school is oversubscribed.

Admission authorities must ensure that parents can easily understand how any faithbased criteria will be reasonably satisfied. Admission authorities for schools designated with a religious character may give priority to all looked after children and previously looked after children whether or not of the faith, but they must give priority to looked after children and previously looked after children of the faith before other children of the faith. Where any element of priority is given in relation to children not of the faith they must give priority to looked after children and previously looked after children not of the faith above other children not of the faith.

Admission authorities for schools designated as having a religious character must have

regard to any guidance from the body or person representing the religion or religious denomination when constructing faith- based admission arrangements, to the extent that the guidance complies with the mandatory provisions and guidelines of the Code. They must also consult with the body or person representing the religion or religious denomination when deciding how membership or practice of the faith is to be demonstrated. Church of England schools must, as required by the Diocesan Boards of Education Measure 199130, consult with their diocese about proposed admission arrangements before any public consultation.

Sources used:

Demographic and diversity profile report of Havering's community, March 2015.

Sexual orientation: Consider people who are heterosexual, lesbian, gay or bisexual					
Please tick (the relevant k	,	Overall impact:			
Positive		t is unlawful for a school to treat children less favourably on the grounds of sexual orientation. This policy applies equally to children of			
Neutral	~	any sexual orientation whose parents/carers (also of any sexual orientation) apply for a place in a school/academy located within the			
Negative		London Borough of Havering.			
Evidence:					
	els. T	ient information on sexual orientation or gender identity at either local or o date, the only local information held is 2011 Census data on same-sex			
Sources us	ed:				
None.					

Gender reassignment: Consider people who are seeking, undergoing or have received gender reassignment surgery, as well as people whose gender identity is different from their gender at birth					
Please tick (Overall impact:			
the relevant k	DOX:	-			
Positive		It is unlawful for a school to treat children less favourably on the grounds of gender identity, without justification. This policy applies			
Neutral	~	equally to children of any gender assignment whose parents/carers (also of any gender assignment) apply for a place in a school/academy			
Negative	located within the London Borough of Havering.				

Evidence:

There is no sufficient information on sexual orientation or gender identity at either local or national levels. To date, the only local information held is 2011 Census data on same-sex civil partners.

Sources used:

The School Admissions Code and Determined Arrangements 2017/18.

Marriage/civil partnership: Consider people in a marriage or civil partnership								
Please tic box:	k (✓) the re	levant C	Overall impact:					
Positive This policy applies equally to children whose parents/car apply for a place in a school/academy located within the						ers		
Neutral		v	London Borough of Havering, regardless of their parents'/carers' marriage/civil partnership status.					
Negative	•							
Evidence: Table 5 below illustrates the marital / same-sex civil partnership status of Havering's population compared to London and England Single (never married or never registered a same-sex CP) Married In a registered same-sex CP Separated (but still legally married or still legally in a same-sex CP) Divorced or formerly in a same-sex CP Widowed (including those surviving partner from a same-sex CP)								
E&W	E&W 34.6 46.6 0.2 2.6 9.0 7.0							
England	34.6	46.6	0.2	2.7	9.0	6.9		
London	44.1	39.8	0.4	3.2	7.4	5.0		
Havering	33.0	48.5	0.1	2.4	8.0	7.9		
	-	-	-	-	(Data	Source: 2011 C	ensus)	

Havering has the lowest percentage for registered same-sex civil partnerships (0.2%) compared to London (0.5%) and England and Wales (0.3%).

Havering has the highest percentage (7.9%) of widowed or surviving partner from a samesex partnership compared to England and Wales (7%) and London (5%). This category only refers to the legal binding of a couple so that they are either married or in a civil partnership.

Over half (57.6%) described their living arrangements as 'living in a couple'. Of those, the majority (47.5%) are either married or in a Civil Partnership and further 10% are co-habiting.

Sources used:

Demographic and diversity profile report of Havering's community, March 2015

Pregnancy,	Pregnancy, maternity and paternity: Consider those who are pregnant and those who						
	are undertaking maternity or paternity leave						
Please tick (v	γ	Overall impact:					
the relevant k	box:						
Positive		There is no anticipated impact as the protected characteristics would only apply in the case of someone being pregnant/maternity (usually					
Neutral	~	issues affecting this characteristic would apply in employment relate					
Negative							
Evidence:							
There is no sufficient information on pregnancy, maternity and paternity at national or local level.							
Sources used:							
None.							

Socio-econ backgrounds		status: Consider those who are from low income or financially excluded
Please tick (, the relevant k	,	Overall impact:
Positive	~	This policy applies equally to children of any socio-economic status whose parents/carers apply for a place in a school/academy located
Neutral		within the London Borough of Havering.
Negative		

Evidence:

In the Code, admission authorities must not give priority to children on the basis of any practical or financial support parents may give to the school or any associated organisation, including any religious authority; or give priority to children according to the occupational, marital, financial or educational status of parents applying; or name feepaying independent schools as feeder schools; or request financial contributions (either in the form of voluntary contributions, donations or deposits (even if refundable)) as any part of the admissions process – including for tests.

If there are more applications to the school than there are places available, then places will be allocated in the following order of priority.

1. Looked after children and children who were previously looked after.

- 2. Exceptional medical or exceptional social grounds.
- 3. Children with siblings on the roll of the school on the date of admission living **up to a distance of 1.6km** from the school. Children with a brother or sister at the school on the date of admission **living over 1.6km** from the school will also receive priority under this criterion where the last sibling was admitted prior to September 2018. This will include children in partner Junior Schools.
- 4. The distance of the home address from the School, as measured by a straight line from the School, those pupils living nearer the School being given higher priority.

If, because of oversubscription in any of the categories 1) to 3) above, it is necessary to distinguish between applicants, the distance of the applicant's home address from the School, as measured by a straight line from the School, will be used with those pupils living nearer the School being given higher priority.

Applicants who can demonstrate that admission to a particular community school is necessary on the grounds of professionally supported medical or social need may have their application considered under this criteria. Parent/carers must supply details of any such special factors at the time of the original application together with recent supporting documentation. Such applications will not be considered without professional support, such as a letter or report from a doctor, consultant or social worker which must be no more than six months old. All information must be submitted with the Common Application Form and will be regarded as confidential.

The exceptional medical or social reasons must relate to the child. The evidence supplied by the doctor, social worker or other appropriate professional must clearly set out the reasons why the preferred School is the most suitable and the difficulties that would be caused if the child attended and had to travel to another School/ Academy.

During the consultation on the proposed change to the admissions arrangements to include a distance measure as part of the sibling criterion, some respondents claimed that there would be a greater impact on parents who might be able to afford to live in a more affluent part of the Borough with just one child, but once they have to upsize with an extra sibling, they are priced out of their immediate vicinity and have to move to cheaper parts of the Borough. There is no evidence to support this as there are over-subscribed and outstanding schools all over the borough, so this is the same for every parent regardless of where they live or their income.

Sources used:

The School Admissions Code and Determined Arrangements 2018/19.

Action Plan

In this section you should list the specific actions that set out how you will address any negative equality impacts you have identified in this assessment.

Protected characteristic	Identified negative impact	Action taken to mitigate impact*	Outcomes and monitoring**	Timescale	Lead officer
All	Accessibility of information	Improve the accessibility of communication channels and dissemination policy by including the availability of translation & interpreting services (incl Easy Read or other alternative formats) upon request.	Relevant materials and documents are available in a range of communication channels.	September 2017	Trevor Cook/Janet James
All	Awareness of E&D Culture issues and barriers	Meet identified staff E&D training needs to improve their understanding and awareness of E&D / cultural issues and barriers some parents/carers (and their children) face when accessing information about applying for school admission for their child or appealing against a decision.	Staff are made aware of issues and barriers and can provide a more effective customer service.	September 2017	Trevor Cook/Janet James
All	Impact of distance measure on sibling criterion.	Monitor the outcomes of the school applications for the 2018 academic year to identify any significant	Sibling criterion to be amended if there is a negative impact.	April 2018	Trevor Cook/Janet James

impacts on particular schools or communities within the borough.			
--	--	--	--

* You should include details of any future consultations you will undertake to mitigate negative impacts

** Monitoring: You should state how the negative impact will be monitored; how regularly it will be monitored; and who will be monitoring it (if this is different from the lead officer).

Review

In this section you should identify how frequently the EIA will be reviewed; the date for next review; and who will be reviewing it.